

101 California Street San Francisco, CA 94111-5802	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		S DISTRICT COURT
Califo ncisco,	14	NORTHERN DISTRICT	
101 un Fra	15	SANDOZ INC.,) Case No. 3:13-CV-02904-MMC
E E			
San	16	Plaintiff,)
San	17	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILESURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION
San	17 18	·) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
San	17 18 19	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON
San	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	v. AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE INC.,	 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; CONTINUING HEARING ON

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE SURREPLY

No. 3:13-cv-02904-MMC

Case 3:13-cv-02904-MMC Document 85 Filed 10/07/13 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	2
	4
	5 6
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
302	11
101 California Street San Francisco, CA 94111-5802	12
101 California Street Francisco, CA 94111-	13
Califor	14
101 Fran	15
Sar	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

Winston & Strawn LLP

Having considered Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to File a Surreply in Opposition to
and defendants' opposition thereto,
Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the Court finds that good cause exists for Plaintiff to file a surreply
in opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, of 10 pages or less.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff Sandoz Inc.'s Administrative Motion to File a Surreply in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, is GRANTED, and Plaintiff may file a surreply brief of 10 pages or less, within 7 days of the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is CONTINUED to November 1, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.

DATED: October 7, 2013

Hon rable Maxine M. Chesney United States District Judge

SF:361472.1